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Today you will hear evidence that you may never have heard before.

By the end of the session, you may understand why.
The 911 Consensus Panel is made up of 23 academics, engineers, lawyers, pilots, and journalists.

The Panel is an *international body* (Europe, America).
Panel’s Reviewing Members include

7 Academics
3 Engineers
2 Lawyers
3 Journalists
2 Pilots
1 Psychologist
9/11 Consensus Panel Members: Academics

Dr. Niels Harrit, Chemistry, Denmark
Dr. Steven Jones, Physics, USA
Dr. Daniele Ganser, Historian, Switz.
Dr. Graeme MacQueen, Peace Studies, Canada
Dr. Jonathan Weisbuch, Medicine, USA
David Chandler, Physics, USA
Dr. Matthew Witt, Public Admin, USA
9/11 Consensus Panel Members: Engineers

Dwain Deets, USA
NASA Engineer (ret.)

Jon Cole, USA
Civil Engineer

Tony Szamboti, USA
Mech Engineer
9/11 Consensus Panel Members: Lawyers

Dennis McMahon, LLM, JD
USA

William Veale, USA
Public Defender
9/11 Consensus Panel Members: Pilots

David W. Gapp  
Lt Col, USAF (Ret)  
Aircraft accident investigator

Cmdr. Ralph Kolstad  
US Navy pilot and commercial airline pilot (ret.)
9/11 Consensus Panel Members: **Journalists**

Giulietto Chiesa, Italy  
Former Member European Parliament

Rowland Morgan, Canada  
Former columnist, *The Guardian*,  
Author, 4 books on 9/11

Massimo Mazzucco, Italy  
Filmmaker, 2 films on 9/11
Members with other professional backgrounds

Frances Shure, USA
Counsel. Psychology

Lou Stolzenberg, USA
Physical Therapist (ret.)

Aidan Monaghan, USA
Electronic Eng, FOIA specialist
48 Points of Evidence Refute the Official Story of 9/11

Since 2011, the Consensus Panel has produced 48 Consensus Points showing that the official narrative cannot be true.

A. General Consensus Points
B. Twin Towers
C. Collapse of World Trade Center 7
D. Pentagon
E. 9/11 Flights
F. US Military Exercises On and Before 9/11
G. Political and Military Commands on 9/11
H. Hijackers on 9/11
I. Phone Calls on 9/11
J. Official Video Exhibits About 9/11
Panel Evidence Is Widely Translated

The international translation team has made the evidence of the Consensus Panel available in six languages.

Consensus911.org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LANGUAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Français</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nederlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deutsch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italiano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Español</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Founders of The 9/11 Consensus Panel

Dr. David Ray Griffin, PhD
Elizabeth Woodworth, BA, BLS
Woodworth is a Health Information specialist

Elizabeth delivered “best evidence” medical information to the Medical Health Officers of British Columbia, Canada, for 25 years.
Griffin, a philosopher of religion, has written 35 scholarly books – 10 refuting official claims about 9/11
Griffin is a Renowned Whitehead Scholar

David Griffin and Dr. John Cobb are the two foremost living scholars on the work of Alfred North Whitehead, author of “Process and Reality,” the foundational text for process philosophy.
Griffin started writing about 9/11 in 2003...
...after people who valued his clarity asked him to look into it...
Griffin Won Publishers Weekly Award, 2008

Griffin’s *The New Pearl Harbor Revisited* was awarded “pick of the week” in November, 2008 by book-trade giant *Publishers Weekly*. Only 51 books a year receive this distinction.
Web Pick of the Week

The New Pearl Harbor Revisited: 9/11, the Cover-Up, and the Exposé

Author and professor Griffin (9/11 Contradictions: An Open Letter to Congress and the Press) knows his work is referred to by officials and the media as conspiracy theory, and he has a rebuttal: “the official theory is itself a conspiracy theory.” In this companion volume to 2004’s The New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions About the Bush Administration and 9/11, Griffin provides corrections, raises new issues and discusses “the two most important official reports about 9/11,” the 9/11 Commission Report and the National Institute of Standards and Technology report on the Twin Towers, both “prepared by people highly responsive to the wishes of the White House” and riddled with “omission and distortion from beginning to end.” Griffin addresses many points in exhaustive detail, from the physical impossibility of the official explanation of the towers’ collapse to the Commission's failure to scrutinize the administration to the NIST’s contradiction of its own scientists to the scads of eyewitness and scientific testimony in direct opposition to official claims. Citing hundreds, if not thousands, of sources, Griffin's detailed analysis is far from reactionary or delusional, building a case that, though not conclusive, raises enough valid and disturbing questions to make his call for a new investigation more convincing than ever. (Oct.)
Publishers Weekly is read by most bookstores and libraries

The PW “pick of the week” is widely reviewed in magazines

However, the media completely ignored this book that challenged the official account of 9/11.
In 2009, Griffin’s full exposé of the WTC 7 collapse was published:

Again, no book reviews.
Griffin was nominated for the 2011 Nobel Peace Prize by 10 professors and members of parliament for his work to disclose the "false flag" attacks of 9/11.
In 2011, he again urged 9/11’s exposure – so climate change could take rightful media priority over the “global war on terror.”
In September, 2009, David Griffin was ranked #41 in a *New Statesman* article, “The 50 people who matter today.”
A contradiction appeared…

The *New Statesman* called Griffin a “high priest” -- and his influence "a pernicious global myth."

The Purpose of the 9/11 Consensus Panel

The purpose of the 9/11 Consensus Panel is to provide the world with a clear statement, based on expert independent opinion, of some of the best evidence opposing the official narrative about 9/11.
The goal of the Consensus Panel is to provide a ready source of evidence-based research to any investigation that may be undertaken by the public, the media, academia, or any other investigative body or institution.
Consensus is reached through a “best evidence” model used internationally in medicine.
Panel’s Honorary Members include:

_Ferdinando Impossimato_, Honorary President Italian Supreme Court; most famous judge in Italy. He tried the Aldo Moro case.
The late Hon. Michael Meacher, longest-sitting member of the British House of Commons

Andreas von Bülow, German writer, lawyer, and politician; served 25 years in the German Parliament

Mathieu Kassovitz, award-winning French director, screenwriter, producer, and actor; well known both in and outside of France.
The 9/11 Consensus Panel's Twitter page

9/11 Consensus Panel
@Consensus911
The 24-member 9/11 Consensus Panel offers peer-reviewed statements, based on expert independent opinion, of best evidence opposing the official 9/11 story.

The 23-member 9/11 Consensus Panel uses a "best evidence" medical model to evaluate 48 official claims about 9/11: consensus911.org
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<td>10,151</td>
<td>23,782</td>
<td>78,941</td>
<td>349,040</td>
<td>2.48 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 2015</td>
<td>12,070</td>
<td>25,765</td>
<td>95,052</td>
<td>432,884</td>
<td>2.72 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 2015</td>
<td>10,372</td>
<td>23,134</td>
<td>82,610</td>
<td>400,894</td>
<td>2.67 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2015</td>
<td>9,095</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>9,216</td>
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<td>321,363</td>
<td>3.61 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2015</td>
<td>9,391</td>
<td>24,569</td>
<td>76,808</td>
<td>329,981</td>
<td>3.21 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2015</td>
<td>8,840</td>
<td>22,102</td>
<td>72,780</td>
<td>309,475</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 2015</td>
<td>30,631</td>
<td>51,172</td>
<td>170,505</td>
<td>1,294,756</td>
<td>9.12 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 2015</td>
<td>10,641</td>
<td>24,707</td>
<td>84,822</td>
<td>379,027</td>
<td>3.67 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 2015</td>
<td>9,739</td>
<td>23,025</td>
<td>117,545</td>
<td>382,940</td>
<td>7.93 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 2015</td>
<td>9,561</td>
<td>22,334</td>
<td>93,918</td>
<td>365,201</td>
<td>5.42 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>141,556</strong></td>
<td><strong>314,411</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,115,917</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,327,545</strong></td>
<td><strong>49.62 GB</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART II

CONSENSUS 9/11:

THE 9/11 BEST EVIDENCE PANEL

9/11: An Evidence-based Approach

Elizabeth Woodworth, Panel Co-Founder
The 9/11 Consensus Panel uses the **Delphi Method** to arrive at the "best evidence"
The Delphi Method is used in medicine to make internationally published Consensus Statements about diagnosis and treatment.

Here is an example.
Following the medical model, the 21 Panel Members are **blind to one another** during three rounds of review and feedback for each Consensus Point.
The Consensus Points are given 3 rounds of review and must receive 85% agreement by the Panel
Three of 48 Consensus Points
(containing recent information)
Consensus Point
WTC7-6

Official Claim:
“Because no steel from WTC 7 was recovered, it was impossible to carry out any metallography.”

The Official Account

No steel from WTC 7 was recovered from the collapse site, as NIST reports have repeatedly pointed out. [1]

Just as there was no reference to recovered WTC 7 steel in NIST’s Final Report on the Collapse of World Trade Center Building 7 (2008), [2] there was also no reference to the building or recovered steel in The 9/11 Commission Report (2004). [3]

Because no steel from WTC 7 was recovered, it was impossible to carry out any metallography. [4]

Accordingly, it was impossible for NIST to make any statements about the quality of WTC 7’s steel in its investigations. [5]

NIST has been able to describe the steel only on the basis of construction-related documents. [6]

The Best Evidence
The Best Evidence

NIST FOIA request #12-057, Feb. 7, 2012, shows photo of NIST engineer Dr. John Gross standing on a pile of WTC7 steel.

Conclusion

The Govt (NIST) did have the WTC 7 steel

Why did NIST lie?
Consensus Point
Video-2

Official Claim:
Five Hijackers Boarded Flight 77 (which allegedly hit the Pentagon) at Dulles Airport near Washington, DC. This video was not made public until 2004.
Video-2, cont’d

The Best Evidence

This is not an official video because security cameras:

• Carry a time-date stamp

• Use 1-second-time-lapse photography to save storage space

• This video has 30 frames per second, like a home video camera

Also, the screeners did not remember the men.
“After the 9/11 attacks, I was part of a team that had the laborious task of reviewing all the video from [Dulles] airport with several federal agents looking over our shoulders.

Did you notice I said all the video? That's every frame from over 300 cameras with 30 days of retention time. The task took three weeks of 15-hour days.“

(Ref: http://www.securityinfowatch.com/article/10489184/the-csi-effect-how-tv-is-changing-video-surveillance)
Video-2, cont’d

Conclusion

There is no photographic evidence that Flight 77’s five alleged hijackers were in Dulles Airport on 9/11.

- There were over 300 security cameras at Dulles International Airport on September 11, 2001.
- Their images were painstakingly examined by information systems technicians and monitored by federal agents.
- The US government did not release a single time-stamped video or any of the images from these 300 security cameras.
Consensus Point ME-2

**Intro:** Four massive annual military drills were *rescheduled* for September 11, 2001

**Official Claim:**
These drills did not interfere with the military response to the hijacked planes
The Best Evidence:

12 air drills in total were running the morning of 9/11

Seven drills had been rescheduled from late October – an enormous departure from other years

Only one drill was mentioned by the 9/11 Commission Report
Some of the drills involved hijack simulations

Controllers were asking, “Is this real-world or exercise?”

Radar screens showed “emergencies all over the place”
“There were lots of false signals out there”
29 reports of hijacked planes

Fighters, not in their usual locations, were unable to respond

The air defenses of America broke down
Point ME-2, Drills, cont’d

Global Guardian, the biggest annual air exercise, **had been scheduled for late October** (see March 23, 2001 newsletter below)

**Who changed its date to early September?**

---

Message from the 21st Space Wing commander

As you know, March is National Women’s History Month. In the last few weeks, there have been several articles in the paper and events hosted throughout Colorado Springs to honor the contributions of women who have, and will continue to, impact the world around us. We have many such women in the wing. To all of you, I say thank you for your service to our nation.

March is also the month of the Air Force Assistance Fund drive. This is an important money-raising event because all the funds raised directly support our military members. For example, monies collected for the Air Force Aid Society support the Bundles for Babies program run by the Family Support Center and the Give Parents A Break program run by the Child Development Center and the Youth Center. I encourage each of you to review the charitable organizations involved with AFAF, and if you can, participate in the drive. You never know when your contribution might help someone you know.

I have been out of the area for a good part of the month, visiting our units around the world and traveling with our community leaders to Washington DC. Although I may not have been at Peterson, I have noticed the wing is still raising the bar for other wings to reach.

On a final note, I want to congratulate our doghandler team of Staff Sgt. Clint Reynolds and his dog Gero for their recent success at the AFSPC competition. They will now go forward and compete at the DoD level.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>21st SPTG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Corona Top, June 9-14</td>
<td>OPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Battle Staff transition</td>
<td>21st OG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ORI, Aug. 20</td>
<td>CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Guardian Challenge, May 7-11</td>
<td>21st OG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Clear AFS transition to AKANG</td>
<td>21st OG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AFSPC CC Conf, May 21-24</td>
<td>21st SPTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canadian Historical Conf.</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>APA graduation, May 30</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change of Command - OG/ILG</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBIRS IOC, Nov. 18</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outsourcing CMAS, April 1</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buckley transition</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MilCon Construction</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CSAA, April 16-23</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DSP Mission transition to OG</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Global Guardian, Oct. 2001</td>
<td>Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mir De-orbit</td>
<td>21st OG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UFL, Aug. 1</td>
<td>CV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Brig Gen. Bob Kohler
21st Space Wing commander
The Impact of 9/11

On September 8, 2015, Tom Engelhardt wrote an article on the impact of 9/11

- Fourteen years of wars, interventions, assassinations, torture, kidnappings, black sites, the growth of the American national security state to monumental proportions, and the spread of Islamic extremism across much of the Greater Middle East and Africa. Fourteen years of astronomical expense, bombing campaigns galore, and a military-first foreign policy of repeated defeats, disappointments, and disasters. Fourteen years of a culture of fear in America, of endless alarms and warnings, as well as dire predictions of terrorist attacks. Fourteen years of the burial of American democracy. . . . Fourteen years of the spread of secrecy, the classification of every document in sight, [and] the fierce prosecution of whistleblowers. . . . Fourteen years of our wars coming home in the form of PTSD, the militarization of the police, and the spread of war-zone technology like drones and stingrays to the “homeland.” . . . Fourteen years of the expansion of surveillance of every kind and of the development of a global surveillance system whose reach . . . would have stunned those running the totalitarian states of the twentieth century.[1]
9/11 started this war.

The world needs to pursue the questions raised by the 9/11 Consensus Panel.

Gore Vidal has described the war on terror as:

"a war both on an unknown enemy, and on an abstract noun – and therefore a war with no end and a war that cannot be won."
consensus911.org

@consensus911