

CONSENSUS 9/11:

THE 9/11 BEST EVIDENCE PANEL



# 9/11: An Evidence-based Approach

by

Elizabeth Woodworth, Panel Co-Founder



# ***Why We Need to Uncover the 9/11 Deception***



Presented at:  
World Social Forum  
Montreal, Quebec, Canada  
August 10th 2016



Today you will hear evidence that you may never have heard before.

By the end of the session, you may understand why.



- **The 911 Consensus Panel** is made up of 23 academics, engineers, lawyers, pilots, and journalists
- The Panel is an *international body* (Europe, America)



## **Panel's Reviewing Members include**

7 Academics

3 Engineers

2 Lawyers

3 Journalists

2 Pilots

1 Psychologist

## 9/11 Consensus Panel Members: **Academics**



Dr. Niels Harrit,  
Chemistry, Denmark



Dr. Steven Jones  
Physics, USA



Dr. Daniele Ganser  
Historian, Switz.



Dr. Graeme MacQueen  
Peace Studies, Canada



Dr. Jonathan Weisbuch  
Medicine, USA



David Chandler  
Physics, USA



Dr. Matthew Witt  
Public Admin, USA




## 9/11 Consensus Panel Members: **Engineers**



Dwain Deets, USA  
NASA Engineer (ret.)



Jon Cole, USA  
Civil Engineer



Tony Szamboti, USA  
Mech Engineer





## 9/11 Consensus Panel Members: **Lawyers**



Dennis McMahon, LLM, JD  
USA



William Veale, USA  
Public Defender





## 9/11 Consensus Panel Members: **Pilots**



David W. Gapp  
Lt Col, USAF (Ret)  
**Aircraft accident investigator**



Cmdr. Ralph Kolstad  
US Navy pilot and commercial  
airline pilot (ret.)



## 9/11 Consensus Panel Members: **Journalists**



Giulietto Chiesa, Italy  
Former Member  
European Parliament



Rowland Morgan, Canada  
Former columnist, *The Guardian*,  
Author, 4 books on 9/11



Massimo Mazzucco, Italy  
Filmmaker, 2 films on 9/11



## Members with other professional backgrounds



Frances Shure, USA  
Counsel. Psychology



Lou Stolzenberg, USA  
Physical Therapist (ret.)



Aidan Monaghan, USA  
Electronic Eng, FOIA specialist

# 48 Points of Evidence Refute the Official Story of 9/11



Since 2011, the Consensus Panel has produced 48 *Consensus Points* showing that the official narrative cannot be true.

- A. General Consensus Points
- B. Twin Towers
- C. Collapse of World Trade Center 7
- D. Pentagon
- E. 9/11 Flights
- F. US Military Exercises On and Before 9/11
- G. Political and Military Commands on 9/11
- H. Hijackers on 9/11
- I. Phone Calls on 9/11
- J. Official Video Exhibits About 9/11

# Panel Evidence Is Widely Translated

The international translation team has made the evidence of the Consensus Panel available in six languages.

**Consensus911.org**

---

## LANGUAGES

	English
	Français
	Nederlands
	Deutsch
	Italiano
	Español

---

# The Founders of The 9/11 Consensus Panel

Dr. David Ray Griffin, PhD

Elizabeth Woodworth, BA, BLS





# Woodworth is a Health Information specialist

Elizabeth delivered  
**“best evidence”**  
**medical**  
**information** to the  
Medical Health  
Officers of British  
Columbia, Canada,  
for 25 years







Griffin, a philosopher of religion,  
has written 35 scholarly books –  
10 refuting official claims about 9/11




# Griffin is a Renowned Whitehead Scholar



David Griffin and Dr. John Cobb are the two foremost living scholars on the work of Alfred North Whitehead, author of “Process and Reality,” the foundational text for process philosophy.



Griffin started writing about 9/11 in 2003...



...after people who valued his clarity asked him to look into it...






## Griffin Won Publishers Weekly Award, 2008

Griffin's *The New  
Pearl Harbor  
Revisited* was  
awarded “pick of  
the week” in  
November, 2008 by  
book-trade giant  
*Publishers Weekly*.

Only 51 books a  
year receive this  
distinction.



## Web Exclusive Reviews: Week of 11/24/2008

-- Publishers Weekly, 11/24/2008 2:00:00 AM


### Web Pick of the Week

#### ☐ **The New Pearl Harbor Revisited: 9/11, the Cover-Up, and the Exposé** ☐

David Ray Griffin. Interlink/Olive Branch, \$20 (386p) ISBN [9781566567299](#)

Author and professor Griffin (*9/11 Contradictions: An Open Letter to Congress and the Press*) knows his work is referred to by officials and the media as conspiracy theory, and he has a rebuttal: "the official theory is itself a conspiracy theory." In this companion volume to 2004's *The New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions About the Bush Administration and 9/11*, Griffin provides corrections, raises new issues and discusses "the two most important official reports about 9/11," the *9/11 Commission Report* and the National Institute of Standards and Technology report on the Twin Towers, both "prepared by people highly responsive to the wishes of the White House" and riddled with "omission and distortion from beginning to end." Griffin addresses many points in exhaustive detail, from the physical impossibility of the official explanation of the towers' collapse to the Commission's failure to scrutinize the administration to the NIST's contradiction of its own scientists to the scads of eyewitness and scientific testimony in direct opposition to official claims. Citing hundreds, if not thousands, of sources, Griffin's detailed analysis is far from reactionary or delusional, building a case that, though not conclusive, raises enough valid and disturbing questions to make his call for a new investigation more convincing than ever. (Oct.)







*Publishers Weekly* is read by most bookstores and libraries

The PW “pick of the week” is widely reviewed in magazines

However, the media completely ignored this book that challenged the official account of 9/11.



In 2009, Griffin's full exposé of the WTC 7 collapse was published:




Again, no book reviews.



Griffin was nominated for the 2011 Nobel Peace Prize by 10 professors and members of parliament for his work to disclose the "false flag" attacks of 9/11.



In 2011, he again urged 9/11's exposure – so *climate change could take rightful media priority* over the “global war on terror.”



## The *New Statesman* placed David Ray Griffin on its Top 50 list

In September,  
2009, David Griffin  
was ranked #41 in  
a *New Statesman*  
article, “The 50  
people who  
matter today.”

### 41. David Ray Griffin

**T**op truther

Conspiracy theories are everywhere, and they always have been. In recent years, one of the most pernicious global myths has been that the US government carried out, or at least colluded in, the 11 September 2001 attacks as a pretext for going to war. David Ray Griffin, a retired professor of religion, is the high priest of the "truther" movement. His books on the subject have lent a sheen of respectability that appeals to people at the highest levels of government – from Michael Meacher MP to Anthony "Van" Jones, who was recently forced to resign as Barack Obama's "green jobs"

A contradiction appeared...

The *New Statesman* called Griffin a “high priest” -- and his influence “a pernicious global myth.”

Because the media actively ignores and suppresses much 9/11 evidence, Griffin and Woodworth founded “The 9/11 Consensus Panel” in 2011.

CONSENSUS 9/11:

THE 9/11 BEST EVIDENCE PANEL



## The Purpose of the 9/11 Consensus Panel

The purpose of the 9/11 Consensus Panel is to **provide the world with** a clear statement, based on expert independent opinion, of some of **the best evidence opposing the official narrative about 9/11.**



CONSENSUS 9/11:

THE 9/11 BEST EVIDENCE PANEL



**The goal of the Consensus Panel is to provide a ready source of evidence-based research to any investigation that may be undertaken by the public, the media, academia, or any other investigative body or institution.**

# Panel Uses Medical Consensus Model

Consensus is reached through a “best evidence” model used internationally in medicine

## Consensus methods for medical and health services research

Jeremy Jones, Duncan Hunter

Health providers face the problem of trying to make decisions in situations where there is insufficient information and also where there is an overload of (often contradictory) information. Statistical methods such as meta-analysis have been developed to summarise and to resolve inconsistencies in study findings—where information is available in an appropriate form. Consensus methods provide another means of synthesising information, but are liable to use a wider range of information than is common in statistical methods, and where published information is inadequate or non-existent these methods provide a means of harnessing the insights of appropriate experts to enable decisions to be

### Box 1—Features of consensus methods

<b>Anonymity</b>	To avoid dominance; achieved by use of a questionnaire in Delphi and private ranking in nominal group
<b>Iteration</b>	Processes occur in “rounds”, allowing individuals to change their opinions
<b>Controlled feedback</b>	Showing the distribution of the group’s response (indicating to each individual their own previous response in Delphi)
<b>Statistical group</b>	Expressing judgment using



## Panel's Honorary Members include:

***Ferdinando Impossimato***, Honorary President Italian Supreme Court; most famous judge in Italy. He tried the Aldo Moro case.





## More Honorary Members...



The late **Hon. Michael Meacher**, longest-sitting member of the British House of Commons



**Andreas von Bülow**, German writer, lawyer, and politician; served 25 years in the German Parliament




**Mathieu Kassovitz**, award-winning French director, screenwriter, producer, and actor; well known both in and outside of France.

# The 9/11 Consensus Panel's Twitter page

JS | <https://twitter.com/Consensus911> Search

Home Moments Notifications Messages Search Twitter Tweet



**9/11 Consensus Panel**  
@Consensus911


The 24-member 9/11 Consensus Panel offers peer-reviewed statements, based on expert independent opinion, of best evidence opposing the official 9/11 story.

International  
[consensus911.org](https://consensus911.org)  
Joined April 2013

TWEETS 32.8K FOLLOWING 665 FOLLOWERS 4,038 LIKES 2,469 Edit profile

Tweets Tweets & replies Media

Pinned Tweet



**9/11 Consensus Panel** @Consensus911 · 30s

The 23-member 9/11 Consensus Panel uses a "best evidence" medical model to evaluate 48 official claims about 9/11: [consensus911.org](https://consensus911.org)

Retweet Like Reply More

Your Tweet activity

Your Tweets earned **9,897 impressions** over the last 28 days


[View your top Tweets](#)

Who to follow · Refresh · View all



**CCTVNEWS** @cctvnews  
Followed by [Philosophie](#) and ...

## Monthly history



Month	Unique visitors	Number of visits	Pages	Hits	Bandwidth
Jan 2015	11,849	27,949	82,335	403,769	2.87 GB
Feb 2015	10,151	23,782	78,941	349,040	2.48 GB
Mar 2015	12,070	25,765	95,052	432,884	2.72 GB
Apr 2015	10,372	23,134	82,610	400,894	2.67 GB
May 2015	9,095	22,472	81,375	358,215	3.44 GB
Jun 2015	9,216	23,400	79,226	321,363	3.61 GB
Jul 2015	9,391	24,569	76,808	329,981	3.21 GB
Aug 2015	8,840	22,102	72,780	309,475	2.49 GB
Sep 2015	30,631	51,172	170,505	1,294,756	9.12 GB
Oct 2015	10,641	24,707	84,822	379,027	3.67 GB
Nov 2015	9,739	23,025	117,545	382,940	7.93 GB
Dec 2015	9,561	22,334	93,918	365,201	5.42 GB
Total	141,556	314,411	1,115,917	5,327,545	49.62 GB

# PART II

CONSENSUS 9/11:

THE 9/11 BEST EVIDENCE PANEL



## 9/11: An Evidence-based Approach

Elizabeth Woodworth, Panel Co-Founder



The 9/11 Consensus Panel  
uses the **Delphi Method** to  
arrive at the "**best evidence**"




### Advantages of Delphi Method

---

1. Group decision-making using anonymous controlled-feedback procedures are often more accurate than face-to-face discussions.
2. Opinions using the Delphi method can be received from a group of experts who may be geographically separated from one another.

The Delphi  
Method is used  
in medicine to  
make  
internationally  
published  
Consensus  
Statements  
about diagnosis  
and treatment.

Here is an  
example.






Following the medical model, the 21 Panel Members are **blind to one another** during three rounds of review and feedback for each Consensus Point



## Application of the Delphi Method



**The Consensus Points are given 3 rounds of review and must receive 85% agreement by the Panel**

# Three of 48 Consensus Points

(containing recent information)

## Consensus Point WTC7-6

**Official Claim:**  
“Because no steel from WTC 7 was recovered, it was impossible to carry out any metallography.”

### *The Official Account*

No steel from WTC 7 was recovered from the collapse site, as NIST reports have repeatedly pointed out. [1]

Just as there was no reference to recovered WTC 7 steel in NIST's *Final Report on the Collapse of World Trade Center Building 7* (2008), [2] there was also no reference to the building or recovered steel in *The 9/11 Commission Report* (2004). [3]

Because no steel from WTC 7 was recovered, it was impossible to carry out any metallography. [4]

Accordingly, it was impossible for NIST to make any statements about the quality of WTC 7's steel in its investigations. [5]

NIST has been able to describe the steel only on the basis of construction-related documents. [6]

### *The Best Evidence*



## The Best Evidence

**NIST FOIA request #12-057, Feb. 7, 2012, shows photo of NIST engineer Dr. John Gross standing on a pile of WTC7 steel.**

## Conclusion

The Govt (NIST) did  
have the WTC 7 steel  
*Why did NIST lie?*



## Consensus Point Video-2

### Official Claim:

Five Hijackers  
Boarded Flight 77  
(which allegedly hit  
the Pentagon) at  
Dulles Airport near  
Washington, DC. This  
video was not made  
public until 2004.



## Video-2, cont'd

### The Best Evidence

This is **not an official video** because security cameras:

- Carry a time-date stamp
- Use 1-second-time-lapse photography to save storage space
- This video has 30 frames per second, like a home video camera

Also, **the screeners did not remember** the men.



## Video-2, cont'd



Dave Brent, Technical Information Engineer, wrote about the 300 Security Cameras at Dulles

“After the 9/11 attacks, I was part of a team that had the laborious task of reviewing all the video from [Dulles] airport with several federal agents looking over our shoulders.

Did you notice I said all the video? That's every frame from over 300 cameras with 30 days of retention time. The task took three weeks of 15-hour days.”

(Ref: <http://www.securityinfowatch.com/article/10489184/the-csi-effect-how-tv-is-changing-video-surveillance>)

## Video-2, cont'd

### Conclusion

*There is no photographic evidence* that Flight 77's five alleged hijackers were in Dulles Airport on 9/11



- There were over **300 security cameras** at Dulles International Airport on September 11, 2001.
- Their images were painstakingly examined by information systems technicians and monitored by federal agents.
- The US government did not release a single time-stamped video or any of the images from these 300 security cameras.

## Consensus Point ME-2

**Intro:** Four massive annual military drills were *rescheduled* for September 11, 2001

### Official Claim:

These drills did not interfere with the military response to the hijacked planes





## Point ME-2, Drills, cont'd

### **The Best Evidence:**

**12 air drills** in total were running the morning of 9/11

**Seven drills** had been rescheduled from late October – an enormous departure from other years

**Only one drill** was mentioned by the 9/11 Commission Report

## Point ME-2, Drills, cont'd

Some of the drills involved hijack simulations

Controllers were asking, “Is this real-world or exercise?”

Radar screens showed  
“emergencies all over the place”  
“There were lots of false signals  
out there”

29 reports of hijacked planes

Fighters, not in their usual  
locations, were unable to  
respond

The air defenses of America  
broke down



## Point ME-2, Drills, cont'd

*Global Guardian*, the biggest annual air exercise, ***had been scheduled for late October*** (see March 23, 2001 newsletter below)

***Who changed its date to early September?***

2 SPACE OBSERVER  
Friday, March 23, 2001

From the top

### Message from the 21st Space Wing commander

As you know, March is National Women's History Month. In the last few weeks, there have been several articles in the paper and events hosted throughout Colorado Springs to honor the contributions of women who have, and will continue to, impact the world around us. We have many such women in the wing. To all of you, I say thank you for your service to our nation.

March is also the month of the Air Force Assistance Fund drive. This is an important money-raising event because all the funds raised directly support our military members. For example, monies collected for the Air Force Aid Society support the Bundles for Babies program run by the Family Support Center and the Give Parents A Break program run by the Child Development Center and the Youth Center. I encourage each of you to

review the charitable organizations involved with AFAF, and if you can, participate in the drive. You never know when your contribution might help someone you know.

I have been out of the area for a good part of the month, visiting our units around the world and traveling with our community leaders to Washington DC. Although I may not have been at Peterson, I have noticed the wing is still raising the bar for other wings to reach.

On a final note, I want to congratulate our doghandler team of Staff Sgt. Clint Reynolds and his dog Gero for their recent success at the AFSPC competition. They will now go forward and compete at the DoD level.

*Brig. Gen. Bob Kehler*  
21st Space Wing commander

### 21st Space Wing priorities

Priority	Event	OPR
1	Corona Top, June 9-14	21st SPTG
2	Battle Staff transition	21st OG
3	ORI, Aug. 20	CV
4	Guardian Challenge, May 7-11	21st OG
5	Clear AFS transition to AKANG	21st OG
	AFSPC CC Conf. May 21-24	21st SPTG
	Canadian Historical Conf.	Monitor
	AFA graduation, May 30	Monitor
	Change of Command - OG/LG	Monitor
	SBIRS IOC, Nov. 18	OG Monitor
	Outsourcing CMAS, April 1	Monitor
	Buckley transition	Monitor
	MilCon Construction	21st SPTG
	CSAA, April 16-23	Monitor
	DSP Mission transition to OG	Plan execute
	<b>Global Guardian, Oct. 2001</b>	<b>Exer</b>
	Mir De-orbit	21st OG
	UFL, Aug. 1	CV



## The Impact of 9/11

On September 8,  
2015, Tom Engelhardt  
wrote an article on the  
impact of 9/11

- Fourteen years of wars, interventions, assassinations, torture, kidnappings, black sites, the growth of the American national security state to monumental proportions, and the spread of Islamic extremism across much of the Greater Middle East and Africa. Fourteen years of astronomical expense, bombing campaigns galore, and a military-first foreign policy of repeated defeats, disappointments, and disasters. Fourteen years of a culture of fear in America, of endless alarms and warnings, as well as dire predictions of terrorist attacks. Fourteen years of the burial of American democracy. . . . Fourteen years of the spread of secrecy, the classification of every document in sight, [and] the fierce prosecution of whistleblowers. . . . Fourteen years of our wars coming home in the form of PTSD, the militarization of the police, and the spread of war-zone technology like drones and stingrays to the “homeland.” . . . Fourteen years of the expansion of surveillance of every kind and of the development of a global surveillance system whose reach . . . would have stunned those running the totalitarian states of the twentieth century.[\[1\]](#)



Gore Vidal has described the war on terror as:

"a war both on an unknown enemy, and on an abstract noun – and therefore a war with no end and a war that cannot be won."

9/11 started this war.

The world needs to pursue the questions raised by the 9/11 Consensus Panel.



[consensus911.org](https://consensus911.org)

@consensus911